



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,500	09/24/2003	Naoto Moriyama	03578/LH	3990

1933 7590 06/05/2007  
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC  
220 Fifth Avenue  
16TH Floor  
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708

EXAMINER

BITAR, NANCY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/05/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/669,500	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nancy Bitar	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 03/06/2007 with respect to claims 1-46 have been considered and are persuasive in view of applicant argument that the previously objected claims 31-34 are substantially the same as previously rejected claims 1-30 and 35-46. Therefore, the objection of claims 31-34 has been withdrawn.

***Double Patenting***

Claims 1-46 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-46 of copending Application No. 10/684355. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application 10/684355	Application 10/669500
A medical imaging radiographing system comprising:	A medical imaging radiographing system comprising:
Control apparatus for controlling an operation of obtaining the medical image	An obtaining section which obtains identification information of cassette, which

from the cassette	detects the radiographed medical image.
A storage section for storing the radiographing order information obtained from the information management apparatus and for storing the obtained identification information of the cassette in correlation with the radiographing order information	A storage section which correlates the identification information of the cassette with the radiographing order information and which stores the radiographing order information
Control apparatus transmits the radiographing order information to the portable terminal	A portable terminal which obtains the radiographing order information from the control apparatus
Authentication section for authentication the operator based on the stored operator identification information	A determination section which determines whether or not the received radiographing order information agrees with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section
A display section for displaying the stored radiographing order information	A display control section which displays the radiographing order information stored in the storage (claim 3)

The above analysis of claim 1 is exemplary of all the pending claims. The rest of the claims recite the same limitations or broader versions as claimed in the copending application 10/669500.

Note the comparison above, claims 1-46 of the instant application is not patentability distinct from claim 1-46 of the application 10/669,500 because claims 1-46 of the instant application is broader than claims 1-46 of application 10/684355. For example, claim 1 of the application 10/684355 does not include the limitation "renewing and display the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus" as recited in application 10/669500. However, since the claims are in "comprising" format they cover common subject matter and all the limitations in the pending claims are anticipated by the patented claims. Moreover, it would have been obvious to "renew the radiographing information " since the control apparatus in the instant application can upgrade the patient information thus getting up-to-date radiographing information. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See also MPEP § 804.

#### **Examiner Notes**

Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully

Art Unit: 2624

requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,6-8,12-13, 31-34, 40-42,44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanada (US 6,954,767 B 1) in view of Rothschild (US 6,678,703 B2).

As to claim 1, Kanada discloses a medical image radiographing system comprising: a control apparatus (14) which manages a radiographed medical image and radiographing order information (examination order information, column 14 line 17) by relating the medical image to the radiographing order information ; and a portable

Art Unit: 2624

terminal which obtains the radiographing order information from the control apparatus the portable terminal comprising : an obtaining section (image recording modalities 12 and 13)for obtaining identification information ( note that the examination order information may include the date of examination, the ID number of a patient, a modality code, a code of the image requesting department status, see column 16, lines 5-8 ) of a cassette for recording the radiographed medical image ;a storage section ( image archiving apparatus 17 or 18) which correlates the identification information of the cassette with the radiographing order information obtained from the control apparatus , and which stores the radiographing order information; and a communication section (Radiology department information system 11) which transmits the radiographing order information stored in the storage section; and ( image acquisition means for acquiring an image from the archiving apparatus 17 or 18, column 15 lines 5-6), wherein the control apparatus (image server 14) comprises: a storage section ( hard disc 14a) which stores radiographing order information ( store the data in an internal hard disc 14a, column 15 lines 1-2); a communication section which receives the radiographing order information transmitted from the portable terminal( when an order for output is received from the workstation 15 or from the terminal (16), column 15 lines 8-10); a determination section (image server 14), which determines whether or not the received radiographing order information agrees with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus ( judges whether or not the combination of the modality and the image requesting department contained in the information sent by the RIS 11 is identical to one of those combinations, column 19, lines 4-

Art Unit: 2624

17). Furthermore, Kanada clearly teaches a management section ( 14 ) which correlates the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus with the identification information of the cassette correlated with the receives radiographing order information in accordance with a result determined by the determination section (control means having for each of the image display terminals, setting defining whether only the storing means or the storing means as well as the database are searched and for determining where to search according to the setting for each terminal, column 12 lines 6-10) . Kanada teaches the invention as cited above, he does not explicitly teach a portable terminal. Kanada does teach a terminal connected to system as a reference to search and fetch images (note terminal 16 and workstation 15, figure 3). Rothschild et al. teaches a remote interface which can be wireless for medical imaging screening, and lists several devices that might be implemented for the system (figure 1 remote interface 35 and figure 6, column 9 lines 24-62, wireless column 21 lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have combined the cited references because a portable terminal would provide a more convenient means of analysis. Moreover, Kanada teaches control means having for each of the image display terminals, setting defining whether only the storing means or the storing means as well as the database are searched and for determining where to search according to the setting for each terminal (column 12, lines 6-10) he does not explicitly teach a management section. Rothschild et al. teaches a medical image management system that include a medical imaging system, a local image workstation, and means for pushing the electronic image to a remote image



viewing, column 12 lines 16-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine the cited references because the workstation communicates with the medical imaging device such that the electronic record may be transmitted from the medical imaging device and received by the local image workstation (column 12 lines 26-30).

As to claim 2, Kanada teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the management section (14) stores the identification information of the cassette correlated with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus, when the received radiographing order information agrees with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus (the image server 14 compares the patient ID number associated with the image data 40 sent from the image recording modalities 12 or 13 with the patient ID number contained in the information of the patient sent from the RIS and determines a delivery destination of the image data 40 in the case where the two patient ID number are identical to each other, column 20 lines 41-48).

As to claim 6, Kanada teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising an information management apparatus(11) which transmits radiographing order information to the control apparatus (14); wherein the communication section (radiology department information 11) in the control apparatus (image server 14) transmits the radiographing order information correlated with the identification information of the cassette stored in the storage section of the control apparatus to the information management apparatus; (image archiving apparatus 17 and 18) wherein the information management apparatus

Art Unit: 2624

comprises: a communication section which receives the radiographing order information and correlated with the identification information of the cassette transmitted by the communication section in the control apparatus; and a storage section (hard disc 14a) which stores the received radiographing order information correlated with the identification information of the cassette ( column 14 lines 1-31).

As to claim 7, claim 7 differ from claim 1 only in that claim 7 is a method claim whereas; claim 1 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 7 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

As to claim 8, claim 8 differ from claim 2 only in that claim 8 is a method claim whereas; claim 2 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 8 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 2 above.

As to claim 12, claim 12 differ from claim 6 only in that claim 12 is a method claim whereas; claim 6 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 12 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 6 above.

As to claim 13, this claim differs from claim 7 only in that limitation "storing the identification information of the cassette correlated with the radiographing order information received by the control apparatus" is additionally recited. Kanada teaches that limitation in (column 18 lines 35-40).

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5,9-11,14-30,43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanada (US 6,954,767 B I) in view of Rothschild (US 6,678,703 B2), and Stoodley (US 6,661,846 B1).

As to claim 3, The system of claim 1, wherein the control apparatus further comprises: a display control section (medical image display system, column 9 line 38) which displays a message for confirming whether or not to renew the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus when the determining section determines that the received radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus (note that the medical image display system comprises the workstation comprising display means capable of displaying an image stored in the workstation, judging means for judging whether or not an image need to be displayed is stored in the workstation, and means for sending to the medical server an order for output of the image need to be displayed when the judging means judges that the image is not stored in the workstation, column 9 lines 36-53), and an input section which inputs an instruction instructing whether or not to renew the

Art Unit: 2624

radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus ( means for sending to the medical server an order for output of the image need to be displayed when the judging means judges that the image is not stored in the workstation, column 9 lines 36-53). Moreover, Kanada teaches the management section (14) renews the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus to the received radiographing order information, and stores the renewed radiographing order information (the image server 14 compares the patient ID number associated with the image data 40 sent from the image recording modalities 12 or 13 with the patient ID number contained in the information of the patient sent from the RIS and determines a delivery destination of the image data 40 in the case where the two patient ID number are identical to each other, column 20 lines 41-48), when the instruction to renew the radiographing order information is inputted (the image server 14 contains means for determining destination of the image taken on the day which determines the delivery destination taken and recorded by an image recording modality 12 and 13, column 20, lines 9-17).

Although Kanada teaches the invention as cited above, they do not specifically depict a controller and display of confirmation. Kanada teaches means for displaying information on a screen at column 7 lines 41-47, however does not show a controller. Stoodley teaches a display controller 18(see column 6 lines 18-37 and lines 51-56; column 8 lines 16-26) displaying a message for confirming whether to renew the radiographing order information stored in the storage (column 11 lines 60-65 requests confirmation 130). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2624

have combines Stoodley with Kanada because the controller would provide the means taught in Kanada for displaying a message. Also display controllers are well known devices in the data processing art.

As to claim 4, this claim differs from claim 3 only in that the limitation "the management section renews the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus to the modified radiographed order information" is additionally recited. Note that the modification to the radiographing order information is considered an image taken "on the day" that will be added to the radiographing order information. Thus, Kanada teaches that limitation in figure 7 and 8 (column 19 lines 56-67 through column 20 lines 1-59).

As to claim 5, Kanada teaches the system of claim 3, wherein in the control apparatus, the storage section( image archiving apparatus 17 or 18) stores a transmission history for indicating whether or not the radiographing order information has been transmitted to the portable terminal ( past image of a patient which is stored in the image archiving apparatus is necessary or unnecessary, column 2 lines 59-61, column 18 lines 35-49) wherein the display control section (medical image display system, column 9 line 38) displays a message for confirming whether or not to cancel the received radiographing order information, when the determining section determines that the received radiographing order information disagrees with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section of the control apparatus (the medical image display system comprises the workstation comprising display means capable of displaying an image stored in the workstation, judging means for judging whether or not

Art Unit: 2624

an image need to be displayed is stored in the workstation, and means for sending to the medical server an order for output of the image need to be displayed when the judging means judges that the image is not stored in the workstation, column 9 lines 36-53 note that Stoodley teaches a display controller 18, see column 6 lines 18-37 and lines 51-56, column 8 lines 16-26). In addition, Kanada teaches the input section inputs an instruction instructing whether or not to cancel the radiographing order information, and the management section controls the communication section of the control apparatus to transmit the instruction to cancel the received radiographing order information to the portable terminal, and stores the transmission history of the radiographing order information, renewed to be not transmitted in the storage section of the control apparatus, when the instruction to cancel the received radiographing order information is inputted; wherein the portable terminal( means for sending to the medical server an order for output of the image need to be displayed when the judging means judges that the image is not stored in the workstation, column 9 lines 36-53, note that when the image is renewed it is not stored in the workstation), the communication section (the radiology department information system 11 ) receives the instruction to cancel the radiographing order information transmitted from the control apparatus, and the storage section of the portable terminal deletes the radiographing order information corresponding to the instruction to cancel the received radiographing order information received (past-image acquisition condition can be added or deleted by a user as required, column 15 lines 66-67).

As to claim 9, claim 9 differ from claim 3 only in that claim 9 is a method claim whereas; claim 3 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 9 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 3 above.

As to claim 10, claim 10 differ from claim 3 only in that claim 10 is a method claim whereas; claim 3 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 10 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 3 above.

As to claim 11, claim 11 differ from claim 5 only in that claim 11 is a method claim whereas; claim 5 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 11 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 5 above.

As to claim 14 this claim differs from claim 1 only in that limitation "an editing section for editing the radiographing order information stored in the storage" is additionally recited. Stoodley teaches that modifications or substitutions may be made to the information transfer system (column 16 lines 59-67 i.e. Figure 6A shows age modification which is a category of the radiographing order information).

As to claim 15, Kanada teaches the system of claim 14, wherein the control apparatus further comprises a determination section which determines whether or not the radiographing order information agrees with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section (delivery judging means for judging whether the delivery of the image stored in the storing means is necessary or unnecessary based on information regarding medical examination order, column 5, lines 6-10), wherein the communication section (Radiology department information system 11) transmits a message for confirming whether or not to renew the radiographing order information to

Art Unit: 2624

the portable terminal (Stoodley, column 11 lines 60-65 requests confirmation 130), and receives an instruction to renew the radiographing order information from the portable terminal, when the radiographing order information disagrees with the radiographing order information stored in the storage section ( Stoodley, if confirmation is receives 132, the new data is stored 140, (Stoodley, column 11, lines 63-65), and the management section (14) stores the received radiographing order information in the storage by renewing the stored radiographing order information to the received radiographing order information, and stores the identification information of the cassette in the storage by relating the identification information of the cassette to the radiographing order information (the image server 14 compares the patient ID number associated with the image data 40 sent from the image recording modalities 12 or 13 with the patient ID number contained in the information of the patient sent from the RIS and determines a delivery destination of the image data 40 in the case where the two patient ID number are identical to each other, column 20 lines 41-48), when the instruction to renew the radiographing order information is received from the portable terminal (column 20, lines 9-17).

As to claim 16, this claim differs from claim 15 only in that limitation "not to renew the radiographing order information" is additionally recited. Stoodley et al teaches that limitation in ( column 1 I, line 42-65)

As to claim 17, is identical to claim 5 and the prior art meets the limitation for claim 17 for the same reasons as described above with respect to claim 5.



As to claim 18, is identical to claim 6 and the prior art meets the limitation for claim 18 for the same reasons as described above with respect to claim 6.

As to claim 19, claim 19 differ from claim 14 only in that claim 19 is a method claim whereas; claim 14 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 19 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 14 above.

As to claim 20, claim 20 differ from claim 15 only in that claim 20 is a method claim whereas; claim 15 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 20 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 15 above.

As to claim 21, claim 21 differ from claim 16 only in that claim 21 is a method claim whereas; claim 16 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 21 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 16 above.

As to claim 22, claim 22 differ from claim 17 only in that claim 22 is a method claim whereas; claim 17 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 22 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 17 above.

As to claim 23, is identical to claim 12 and the prior art meets the limitation for claim 23 for the same reasons as described above with respect to claim 12.

As to claim 24, claim 24 differ from claim 14 only in that claim 24 is a method claim whereas; claim 14 is an apparatus claim. Thus, claim 24 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 14 above.

As to claim 25, Kanada et al. teaches a medical image radiographing system comprising: a control apparatus (14) which holds radiographing order information in a readable state, and which transmits the radiographing order information to an external

Art Unit: 2624

apparatus(laser printer 19, figure 1) according to a reading instruction; and a portable terminal(16) connectable to the control apparatus through a communication network(10, column 14 lines 1-3)), wherein the portable terminal comprises: a storage section (hard disc 14a) which stores the radiographing order information(medical examination order, column 9, lines 1-2 l) obtained from the control apparatus; a control section which identifies the inputted doctor identification information and which permits input of additional radiographing order information when the inputted doctor identification is identified, a display (medical image display system, column 9 line 38) which displays an addition input screen for inputting the additional radiographing order information when the doctor identification information is identified by the control section; an addition processing section which adds the additional radiographing order information inputted on the addition input screen to the radiographing order information stored in the storage(delivery destination based on a destination determining standard which is one of or any combination of a name of an image requesting department s name of an image requesting doctor an image recording modality and a photographing menu, column 7, lines 10-15); and a transmission section which transmits the additional radiographing order information added to the control apparatus through the communication network( an order for output is sent from the separated terminal on the day of recording, column 8, lines 28-29).

The limitation of claim 26-27 has been addressed above except for the following:  
"an addition processing section for adding the radiographing order information received in the radiographing order information "Kanada teaches that limitation in (the past-image

Art Unit: 2624

acquisition condition can be added or deleted by a user as required, note: column 15 lines 65 -67).

The limitation of claim 28 has been addressed above except for the following: "the system comprises information management apparatus". Kanada teaches that limitation in (image server 14 comprises a computer system, column 24, line 47-59)

The limitation of claim 29 has been addressed above except for the following: X-ray radiographing apparatus, which is movable, and radiographs with X-ray. Rothschild teaches that limitation in (column 9, line 28-32, X-ray device).

The limitation of claim 30 has been addressed above except for the following: "A portable terminal capable of being connected to a control apparatus". Kanada teaches that limitation in (figure 11, 116 is connected to 114).

As to claim 31-37, has been addressed above except for the following: "an addition processing section for adding the radiographing order information received in the radiographing order information "Kanada teaches that limitation in (the past-image acquisition condition can be added or deleted by a user as required, note: column 15 lines 65-67).

As to claim 38, Kanada teaches the method of claim 35, further comprising displaying the radiographing history information inputted in the control apparatus (the workstation such as the diagnostic workstation 15 in the image display system shown in figure 3, 5, 7 or 9 preferably comprises the display means capable of displaying the image stored in the workstation, column 23 lines 59-62).

The limitation of claim 39 has been addressed above except for the following: "control section for controlling transmission of the radiographing result from the portable terminal to the control apparatus on the basis of a determination result determined by the determination section". Kanada teaches this limitation in (column 5, lines 6-10).

As to claim 40, Kanada teaches the system of claim 39, further comprising at least one of the control apparatus connected through a network (image recording modalities 112, and 113, an image server 114 as a medical image search apparatus 117, 118, and 119, a laser printer 120, and the like are connected to the network 110, see column 24, lines 22-27).

As to claim 41, Kanada teaches the system of claim 40, further comprising at least one of the portable terminal capable of communicating with the at least one of the control apparatus through the network (image recording modalities 112, and 113, an image server 114 as a medical image search apparatus 117, 118, and 119, a laser printer 120, and the like are connected to the network 110, see column 24, lines 22-27).

As to claim 42, Kanada teaches the system of claim 40, further comprising at least one of the portable terminal capable of communicating with a specific control apparatus among the at least one of the control apparatus through the network (image recording modalities 112, and 113, an image server 114 as a medical image search apparatus 117, 118, and 119, a laser printer 120, and the like are connected to the network 110, see column 24, lines 22-27).

The limitation of claim 43 has been addressed above except for the following: "A medical image radiographing system comprising: a radiographing order information

Art Unit: 2624

generating apparatus for generating radiographing order information". Kanada teaches that limitation in (column 14, lines 32-37, note that the workstation 15 carry out image processing to generate an image appropriate for diagnosis)

As to claim 44, Kanada teaches the system of claim 43, further comprising at least one of the control apparatus connected to the radiographing order information generating apparatus through a network (image recording modalities 112, and 113, an image server 114 as a medical image search apparatus 117, 118, and 119, a laser printer 120, and the like are connected to the network 110, see column 24, lines 22-27).

As to claim 45, Kanada teaches the system of claim 44, further comprising at least one of the portable terminal capable of communicating with the at least one of the control apparatus through the network (image recording modalities 112, and 113, an image server 114 as a medical image search apparatus 117, 118, and 119, a laser printer 120, and the like are connected to the network 110, see column 24, lines 22-27).

As to claim 46, Kanada teaches the system of claim 44, further comprising at least one of the portable terminal capable of communicating with a specific control apparatus among the at least one of the control apparatus through the network (image recording modalities 112, and 113, an image server 114 as a medical image search apparatus 117, 118, and 119, a laser printer 120, and the like are connected to the network 110, see column 24, lines 22-27).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy Bitar whose telephone number is 571-270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on 571-272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nancy Bitar

05/25/2007



JOSEPH MANCUSO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER